



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**

**350 West First Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 - Courtroom 5C
THE HONORABLE SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT, U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE**



WEDNESDAY, MARCH 18, 2026

COURTROOM DEPUTY

Patricia Gomez

COURT REPORTER

Webinar ID: 160 868 7864/ Passcode: 833882

Zoom Dial-In (669) 254-5252

Recording, copying photographing and rebroadcasting Court proceedings is prohibited by federal law

Updated: March 17, 2026 (11:59AM)

NO.	TIME	IN-PERSON HEARING
1.	9:00 AM	CR 26-00087-SPG-1: United States of America v. Jesus Ramirez-Martinez (CUSTODY) CHANGE OF PLEA SENTENCING Julian Xu, AUSA Amy Karlin, DFPD
2.	10:00 AM	CR 02-00800-SPG-1: United States of America v. Anthony Quinones (CUSTODY)(Reg. No. 21291-112) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REVOCATION OF SUPERVISION George Cardona, AUSA Jaya Gupta, DFPD Jake Nare, AUSA Katherine Farkas Chante Pagan, USPO

NO.	TIME	IN-PERSON HEARING
3.	10:30 AM	<p>CR 24-00537-SPG: United States of America v. Danny Christian Molina, Jr.(CITED)</p> <p>PRELIMINARY REVOCATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE</p> <p>Aylin Kuzxucan, AUSA Monica Jefferson, USPO</p> <p>Taylor Gee, DFPD</p>
4.	11:00 AM	<p>EDCR 25-00094-SPG-1: United States of America v. Paul McClain (CUSTODY) (Reg. No. 2503300)</p> <p>CHANGE OF PLEA (JT4/28/26)</p> <p>Cory Burleson, AUSA Sonah Lee, AUSA</p> <p>Charles Eaton, FPD</p>
5.	3:00 PM	<p>CV 23-09066-SPG(MARx): Frederick R. Zariczny v. Harley-Davidson, Inc. et al</p> <p>PRETRIAL CONFERENCE (JT 4/7/26)</p> <p>PLAINTIFFS MOTION IN LIMINE 1 OF 5 TO PRECLUDE ARGUMENT THAT THE OWNERS MANUAL WARNING DEFEATS THE CONSUMER TEST [119]</p> <p>PLAINTIFF MOTION IN LIMINE 2 OF 5 TO PRECLUDE SPECULATIVE MEDICAL OR PHYSIOLOGICAL DIAGNOSIS AND PREJUDICIAL COMPARATIVE FAULT ARGUMENTS [120]</p> <p>PLAINTIFFS MOTION IN LIMINE 3 OF 5 TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT REGARDING THE ABSENCE OF PRIOR INCIDENTS OR COMPLAINTS [121]</p> <p>PLAINTIFFS MOTION IN LIMINE 4 OF 5 TO PRECLUDE INDUSTRY STANDARDS UNDER THE CONSUMER EXPECTATION TEST, AND TO PRECLUDE BURDEN SHIFTING ON RISK BENEFIT [122]</p> <p>PLAINTIFF MOTION IN LIMINE 5 OF 5 TO PRECLUDE ARGUMENT OR EVIDENCE THAT PLAINTIFF ASSUMED THE RISK OR WAS A "SOPHISTICATED USER" [123]</p> <p>DEFENDANT HARLEY DAVIDSON MOTOR COMPANY, INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 1 TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF PURPORTED OTHER INCIDENTS OF SIDE STAND ISSUES [124]</p> <p>DEFENDANT HARLEY DAVIDSON MOTOR COMPANY'S INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 2 TO PRECLUDE SPECIFIC HEARSAY EVIDENCE [125]</p> <p>DEFENDANT HARLEY DAVIDSON MOTOR COMPANY'S INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 3. TO PRECLUDE EXPERT OPINIONS FROM LAY WITNESSES [126]</p> <p>DEFENDANT HARLEY DAVIDSON MOTOR COMPANY'S INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO.4. TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF PLAINTIFF'S MEDICAL CONDITION [127]</p> <p>DEFENDANT HARLEY DAVIDSON MOTOR COMPANY'S INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 5. TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE AND WITNESSES NOT PREVIOUSLY DISCLOSED OR PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY [128]</p> <p>Alvin Gomez</p> <p>Dommond E. Lonnie</p>